arXiv:1704.06820v1 [math.AG] 22 Apr 2017

Cohomology of Line Bundles of Rational Degree

Harpreet Singh Bedi bediha@dickinson.edu

April 25, 2017

Abstract

Line bundles of rational degree are defined using Perfectoid spaces, and their cohomology computed via standard Čech complex.

Acknowledgement

I am grateful to Kiran Kedlaya for critical comments. Any remaining errors are my own.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**
1.1 Proj of Graded Ring 1
1.2 Grading 1

2 Defining $\mathcal{O}(m)$ **2**
2.1 Twisting the sheaf $\mathcal{O}(m)$ 2

3 Cohomology of Line Bundles on $\mathbb{P}^{n,ad,perf}_K$ **2**

1 Introduction

We work with prefectoid object $K\left\langle X_1^{1/p^\infty},\dots,X_n^{1/p^\infty}\right\rangle$ as defined in [Scholze,]. The sheafiness comes from Theorem 3.6.15 of [Kedlaya and Liu, 2013].

1.1 Proj of Graded Ring

Let $S = \oplus_d S_d$ be the graded homogeneous co-ordinate ring. Corresponding to the graded ring S we have a sheaf of rings $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Proj}}(S)$ which will give the scheme $(\mathrm{Proj}(S), \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Proj}(S)})$, as given in ([Stacks Project Authors, 2016, Tag 01M3] or page 117 of [Hartshorne, 1977]).

Let $M = \oplus_{\mathbb{Z}} M_n$ be a graded S module, that is $S_n M_m \subset M_{n+m}$ then there is a sheaf $\widetilde{M}$ on the basis of standard open sets.

$$\widetilde{M}(U) = M_{(f)} = \{mf^{-d} \in M_f \text{ such that } m \in M_{d\cdot \deg f}\} \tag{1}$$

We want to construct a graded ring for fractional power series.

1.2 Grading

Analogous to the case of standard grading of homogeneous polynomials, we can construct a fractional grading with $d \in \mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ arranged in the canonical order.

$$S_d := \text{ Homogneous polynomials of degree } d \tag{2}$$

2 Defining $\mathcal{O}(m)$

Let $B(n)$ denote the graded S-module defined by $B(n)_d = S_{n+d}$. This will be called twist of B. For a given $X = \mathrm{Proj}(S)$, let $\mathcal{O}_X(n)$ denote the $\mathcal{O}_X$ module $\widetilde{B(n)}$. Let $f \in S$ be homogeneous of degree one (with affine open set $D_+(f)$), then we get

$$B(n)_{(f)} = f^n B_{(f)} \tag{3}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_X(n)|_{D_+(f)} = f^n \mathcal{O}|_{D_+(f)} \tag{4}$$

Notice that $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, but we could choose $n \in \mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ which would give us rational degrees. Informally, we can define $\mathcal{O}(m)$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ as

$$\mathcal{O}(m) = \left\{ \frac{f}{g} \text{ where } f, g \in S \text{ and } \deg f - \deg g = m \right\} \tag{5}$$

Remark

1. Note that since, f and g are homogeneous, degree is well defined for the series (it is the same for all elements).
2. $\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_K^{1,\mathrm{ad,perf}}\mathcal{O}(1))$ is generated by $X, Y, \ldots, X^{q_i}Y^{q_j}, \ldots$ where $q_i + q_j = 1$. The basis reduces to X, Y if we take exponent of p in X^a/p^b as 0. Thus, $\dim \Gamma(\mathbb{P}_K^{1,\mathrm{ad,perf}}\mathcal{O}(1)) = \infty$ and reduces to 2 when we take exponent of p to be 0.

2.1 Twisting the sheaf $\mathcal{O}(m)$

We have an isomorphism between graded modules, which is given as

$$\oplus_d S_d \xrightarrow{\cdot S_n} \oplus_d S_d, \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}[1/p] \tag{6}$$

$$S_d \mapsto S_{d+n} \tag{7}$$

We get the twist by tensoring with $\mathcal{O}(m)$ where $m \in \mathbb{Z}[1/p]$. The tensor products of sheaves $\mathcal{O}(m) \otimes \mathcal{O}(n) = \mathcal{O}(m+n)$ gives us an isomorphism with $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$.

3 Cohomology of Line Bundles on $\mathbb{P}_K^{n,\mathrm{ad,perf}}$

Recall that global sections $H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(m))$ are generated by homogeneous polynomials of degree m in $n+1$ variables. For example, $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2))$ is generated by x^2, xy, y^2. We can similarly, define the global sections on $\mathbb{P}_K^{1,\mathrm{ad,perf}}$, of degree d being generated by $x^{a_1/p^{n_1}} \cdot y^{a_2/p^{n_2}}$ such that

$$\frac{a_1}{p^{n_1}} + \frac{a_2}{p^{n_2}} = 2 \text{ and } a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0} \tag{8}$$

Thus, we see that the dimension of $H^0(\mathbb{P}_K^{1,\mathrm{ad,perf}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^{1,\mathrm{ad,perf}}}(2))$ is infinite, and $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)) \subset H^0(\mathbb{P}_K^{1,\mathrm{ad,perf}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^{1,\mathrm{ad,perf}}}(2))$. For $n_i = 0$ we recover the global sections $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2))$.

$$\dim H^0(\mathbb{P}_K^{n,\mathrm{ad,perf}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^{n,\mathrm{ad,perf}}}(m)) = \infty \tag{9}$$

The first theorem which just drops out of the Cech complex using the arguments on page 225 of [Hartshorne, 1977] is the following

Theorem 3.1. *1.* $H^0(\mathbb{P}_K^{n,\mathrm{ad,perf}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^{n,\mathrm{ad,perf}}}(m))$ *is a free module of infinite rank.*

2. $H^n(\mathbb{P}_K^{n,\mathrm{ad,perf}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^{n,\mathrm{ad,perf}}}(-m))$ *for* $m > n$ *is a free module of infinite rank.*

We take the standard cover of $\mathbb{P}^{n,ad,perf}_K$ by affine sets $\mathfrak{U} = \{U_i\}_i$ where each $U_i = D(x_i), i = 0, \ldots, n$.
We get $H^0(\mathbb{P}^{n,ad,perf}_K, \mathcal{F})$ as the kernel of the following map

$$\prod S_{x_{i_0}} \to \prod S_{x_{i_0} x_{i_1}} \tag{10}$$

The element mapping to the Kernel has to lie in all the intersections $S = \cap_i S_{x_i}$, as given on [Hartshorne, 1977, pp 118].
$H^n(\mathbb{P}^{n,ad,perf}_K, \mathcal{F})$ is the cokernel of the map

$$d^{n-1} : \prod_k S_{x_0 \cdots \hat{x}_k \cdots x_n} \to S_{x_0 \cdots x_n} \tag{11}$$

$S_{x_0 \cdots x_n}$ is a free A module with basis $x_0^{l_0} \cdots x_n^{l_n}$ with each $l_i \in \mathbb{Z}[1/p]$. The image of d^{n-1} is the free submodule generated by those basis elements with atleast one $l_i \geqslant 0$. Thus H^n is the free module with basis as negative monomials

$$\{x_0^{l_0} \cdots x_n^{l_n}\} \text{ such that } l_i < 0 \tag{12}$$

The grading is given by $\sum l_i$ and there are infinitely many monomials with degree $-n-\epsilon$ where ϵ is something very small and $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Z}[1/p]$. Recall, that in the standard coherent cohomology there is only one such monomial $x_0^{-1} \cdots x_n^{-1}$. For example, in case of $\mathbb{P}^2$ we have $x_0^{-1} x_1^{-1} x_2^{-1}$ but in $\mathbb{P}^{2,ad,perf}_K$ in addition to above we also have $x_0^{-1/2} x_1^{-1/2} x_2^{-2}$.

Recall that in coherent cohomology of $\mathbb{P}^n$ the dual basis of $x_0^{m_0} \cdots x_n^{m_n}$ is given by $x_0^{-m_0-1} \cdots x_n^{-m_n-1}$ and the operation of multiplication gives pairing. We do not have this pairing for $\mathbb{P}^{n,ad,perf}_K$, but we can pair $x_0^{m_0}$ with $x_0^{-m_0}$.

Theorem 3.2. $H^i(\mathbb{P}^{n,ad,perf}_K, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n,ad,perf}_K}) = 0$ *if* $0 < i < n$

In the standard case for $\mathbb{P}^n$ we use the following sequence of sheaves, with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(m-1) \xrightarrow{\times x_n} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(m) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(m) \to 0 \tag{13}$$

The exact same argument can be used for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n,ad,perf}_K}(m)$, with $m \in \mathbb{Z}[1/p]$. We start with an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n,ad,perf}_K}(-1) \xrightarrow{\times x_n} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n,ad,perf}_K} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1,ad,perf}_K} \tag{14}$$

and twist it with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n,ad,perf}_K}(m)$ where $m \in \mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ to get

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n,ad,perf}_K}(m-1) \xrightarrow{\times x_n} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n,ad,perf}_K}(m) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1,ad,perf}_K}(m) \to 0 \tag{15}$$

We set $\mathcal{F} = \oplus_m \mathcal{O}(m)$ and get an exact sequence of quasi coherent sheaves

$$0 \to \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\times x_n} \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}' \to 0 \tag{16}$$

which gives a long exact sequence of sheaves

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(\mathbb{P}^{n,ad,perf}_K, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\mathbb{P}^{n,ad,perf}_K, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1,ad,perf}_K, \mathcal{F}') \\
\to & & H^1(\mathbb{P}^{n,ad,perf}_K, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{P}^{n,ad,perf}_K, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{P}^{n-1,ad,perf}_K, \mathcal{F}') \\
\to & \cdots & & \cdots & & \cdots & \\
\to & & H^n(\mathbb{P}^{n,ad,perf}_K, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & H^n(\mathbb{P}^{n,ad,perf}_K, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

We need to show that the following is an isomorphism

$$\times x_n : H^1(\mathbb{P}_K^{n,ad,perf}, \mathcal{F}) \to H^1(\mathbb{P}_K^{n,ad,perf}, \mathcal{F}) \tag{17}$$

this will follow from induction except for the case for $i = 1$ and $i = n - 1$.

Start with the case $i = 1$ and consider the map

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}_K^{n,ad,perf}, \mathcal{F}) \to H^0(\mathbb{P}_K^{n-1,ad,perf}, \mathcal{F}') \tag{18}$$

where we send the global sections in variables $x_0, \ldots, x_n$ to $x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}$ by setting $x_n = 0$, which gives a surjective map.

For the case $i = n - 1$ we get the short exact sequence

$$0 \to H^{n-1}(\mathbb{P}_K^{n-1,ad,perf}, \mathcal{F}') \to H^n(\mathbb{P}_K^{n,ad,perf}, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\times x_n} \to H^n(\mathbb{P}_K^{n,ad,perf}, \mathcal{F}) \to 0 \tag{19}$$

The first map is injective and it corresponds to taking a laurent series in $x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}$ where each x_i appears with degree atmost -1, and multiplying by x_n^{-1}. In the second map we multiply by x_n which then gives the kernel of the second map.

Furthermore, localization $H^i(\mathbb{P}_K^{n,ad,perf}, \mathcal{F})_{x_n} = 0$ since we are working on affine base.

References

[Hartshorne, 1977] Hartshorne, R. (1977). *Algebraic Geometry*. Encyclopaedia of mathematical sciences. Springer. (Cited on page 1, 2, 3)

[Kedlaya and Liu, 2013] Kedlaya, K. S. and Liu, R. (2013). Relative p-adic Hodge theory: Foundations. *ArXiv e-prints*. (Cited on page 1)

[Scholze,] Scholze, P. Perfectoid spaces. http://math.stanford.edu/~conrad/Perfseminar/refs/perfectoid.pdf. (Cited on page 1)

[Stacks Project Authors, 2016] Stacks Project Authors, T. (2016). *stacks project*. *http://stacks.math.columbia.edu*. *(Cited on page 1)*